The Effect of Paper Properties on Fusing Fix

D. J. Sanders and D. F. Rutland
Xerox Research Centre of Canada, Ontario, Canada
and W. K. Istone
Chamption International, West Nyack, New York

Abstract

The widespread change from acid to alkaline paper-making for commercial copy papers was accompanied in many cases by a reduction in fusing fix. To study this problem, a set of 25 Model Papers was produced with three different types of internal size, three types of inorganic filler, and three types of surface treatment. The papers were extensively characterized for their chemical and physical properties, sample sets were printed in three different high speed copiers, and the fusing fix of the resulting images was quantified by the Crease Test. Out of 47 measured parameters, the crease width had a significant correlation only with the dispersive component of the surface energies of the papers. A hypothesis was constructed to explain how changes in the paper constituents affect both the surface energy and fix of the papers.

Introduction

In an electrophotographic copier or laser printer, the final step in making a permanent copy is to fix the thermoplastic toner particles to the paper substrate, usually with a hot roll fuser. In general the degree of permanence, or fusing fix, of the copy is determined by the properties of the fuser system, toner, and paper. Copy papers, like other fine papers, consist of bleached paper fibers along with inorganic fillers and an internal sizing material that gives the paper enough wet strength so that a surface application of starch and other additives can be applied in a conventional size press. Traditional paper sizing chemistry was based on the rosin-alum system in which the paper fibers are made slightly hydrophobic by a light deposit, in an acidic pH environment, of a rosin material produced from the resinous substances found in softwoods. Under acid papermaking conditions, kaolin based clay fillers are added to improve paper opacity and whiteness. Since almost all copy papers were produced in this way, early studies of fusing fix tended to focus on the rheological properties of the toner, and considered only physical variables of the paper such as roughness[1-4]. In the 1970's, in order to take advantage of low cost, high whiteness calcium carbonate fillers, a number or synthetic sizing chemicals were developed which could make paper fibers hydrophobic under neutral or alkaline conditions. These materials, such as Alkyl Ketene Dimer (AKD), or Alyenyl Succinic Acid (ASA), form chemical bonds with hyroxyl groups on the surface of cellulose fibers. The change from acid to alkaline papermaking was accompanied by an increased variability in fusing fix, which in a number of studies[5-9], was related to variations in the surface energy of the paper substrates. It is difficult to establish a quantitative relationship between fusing fix and paper chemistry using sets of commercial copy papers, since correlations may be confounded by variations in the physical properties of the papers, or by the use of a wide variety of unknown surface additives. Therefore, in the present study, a set of laboratory produced model papers were used to study the effect of paper properties on fusing fix.

Model Papers

Production

Using the principles of experimental design, a set of 25 model papers was constructed as shown in Table I, containing most of the constituents that would be found in commercial acid or alkaline copy papers. The initial set was designed as a screening experiment, so each constituent was added at only one level. Pulp batches were prepared with only wood pulp (75% hardwood, 25% softwood), or pulp plus conventional acid rosin internal size (0.5% by weight Pexol-200 from Hercules, Inc.), or pulp plus one of two types of alkaline internal size: AKD (0.2% Hercon 70 from Hercules, Inc.), or ASA (2% Nalsize 7540 from Nalco, Inc.). In each case, pulp batches were prepared with no inorganic filler, or with the addition of 15% clay or 15% ground calcium carbonate (with the exception that $CaCO_3$ cannot be added to acid sized pulp). Model paper sheets were produced on an Allimand Formette Dynamique centrifugal former for each of the pulp batches corresponding to papers (1) to (9) in Table I. The internally sized base sheets (2) to (9) were each divided into three sets. One set was left with no surface treatment, another set was surface sized in a KRK Laboratory Size Press with a 10% starch solution, resulting in a 5% by weight starch pickup on each sheet, and the third set was surface sized with a starch solution containing 3% (by weight of starch) of a Styrene Maleic Anhydride copolymer (Scripset 740 from Hercules, Inc.) that has become popular in the paper industry as a surface additive for alkaline papers. After surface treatment, the model paper sheets were calendered to improve the surface smoothness. In total, between 21 and 24 8.5×11 inch sheets were produced for each of the 25 model papers. Twelve sheets were selected for printing in electrophotographic copiers, while the remaining sheets were used for characterization.

Characterization

After production, the model papers were stored in controlled (TAPPI) conditions of 73°F and 50% R.H. The papers were extensively characterized for their physical and compositional properties, as listed in Table II. The composition of each sheet must be analyzed, since it may differ

from the composition of the initial pulp batch due to varying retentions of each component. Surface energies of each paper (except unsized pulp) were calculated from contact angle measurements. The critical surface tension was calculated using the Zisman method[10,11] and the standard series of six homologous liquids. In addition, the dispersive and polar components of surface energy were calculated using the method of Owens and Wendt[12] from the contact angles of water and diiodomethane. The surface composition of each model paper was also studied with X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS), and Diffuse Reflectance Infrared Fourier Transform Spectroscopy (DRIFTS).

Table 1. Experimental Design for Model Papers

PULP	INTERNAL SIZE	FILLER
(1) PULP ONLY	(2) P + ROSIN	(5) P + ROSIN + CLAY
	(3) P + AKD	(6) P + AKD + CLAY
		(7) P + AKD + CaCO$_3$
	(4) P + ASA	(8) P + ASA + CLAY
		(9) P + ASA + CaCO$_3$

SURFACE SIZE 1		SURFACE SIZE 2	
(10) P + ROSIN + STARCH		(18) P + ROSIN + STARCH + SMA	
(11) P + ROSIN + CLAY + S		(19) P + ROSIN + CLAY + S + SMA	
(12) P + AKD + STARCH		(20) P + AKD + STARCH + SMA	
(13) P + AKD + CLAY + S		(21) P + AKD + CLAY + S + SMA	
(14) P + AKD + CaCO$_3$ + S		(22) P + AKD + CaCO$_3$ + S + SMA	
(15) P + ASA + STARCH		(23) P + ASA + STARCH + SMA	
(16) P + ASA + CLAY + S		(24) P + ASA + CLAY + S + SMA	
(17) P + ASA + CaCO$_3$ + S		(25) P + ASA + CaCO$_3$ + S + SMA	

Table 2. Experimental Design for Model Papers

COMPOSITION	PHYSICAL
% MOISTURE	BASIS WEIGHT
% FILLER	CALIPER
SIZING: Size Press Pickup Hercules Size Test AKD Extraction	ROUGHNESS: Sheffield Parker Print Surf MicroTopograph
SURF ENERGY: Zisman Disp. & Polar	BENDING: Taber Stiffness Sonic Tests
SURF COMP: XPS DRIFTS	STATIC CHARGE FORMATION

RESPONSES	
TRANSFER: Toner Mass / Area (TMA)	
FUSING FIX: Crease Test, Copier 1 (135 cpm) Crease Test, Copier 2 (90 cpm) Crease Test, Copier 3 (50 cpm)	
FRICTION: Static Kinetic	

Figure 1. Porosities of the 25 Model Papers. For each set of samples, the progression from left to right is: uncoated base sheet, base sheet plus starch surface size, and base sheet plus starch plus SMA.

Physical properties of the model papers such as density, roughness, stiffness, charge acceptance and formation were monitored to determine if they were comparable to those of commercial copy papers, and thereby ensure that the model papers would be runnable in high speed copiers. In addition, these physical properties may be correlated with fusing fix. While the object of the model paper study was to vary only the chemical composition of the sheets, some variation in physical properties is inevitable. For example, Figure 1 shows the variation in porosity as measured with the Parker PrintSurf device. Clearly, there are no significant differences in porosity due to internal size. However, the addition of fine inorganic filler particles does reduce porosity, and the platelet shaped clay particles are more effective than ground CaCO$_3$. For each sample set, the progression or data points from left to right is: uncoated base sheet, base sheet plus starch surface size, and base sheet plus starch plus SMA. It appears that the addition of 0.15% SMA to the sheet has almost as large and effect on porosity as the addition of 5% starch. This suggests that SMA is acting as a film former and is more effective than starch alone in sealing the sheet surface.

Fusing Fix

As noted in Table II, model paper sample sets were printed with a test pattern in three different high speed electrophotographic copiers. Three sheets of each of the 25 model papers were printed in each copier. The sample sets were randomized and printed in a continuous run between large quantities of commercial copy paper. Each of the model paper sheets was conditioned to 50% R.H. and weighed before and after printing to monitor the transferred Toner Mass per unit Area (TMA). There was found to be no significant variation in TMA among the model papers, which could otherwise have produced an apparent variation in fusing fix. The test pattern consisted of a number of solid areas and line copy, including three 1.5 inch long solid areas arrayed along the axis of the hot roll fuser in each machine.

CREASE WIDTH FOR COPIER 1

UNFILLED SHEETS | + CLAY | + CaCO₃

● - ROSIN
□ - AKD
▲ - ASA

MODEL PAPER

Figure 2. Crease widths of toner images printed on the Model Papers in copier 1(135 cpm). The broken lines are the crease widths on commercial alkaline copy papers A and B.

There are a number of ways of measuring the permanence of a fused electrophotographic image[3]. One such method is the Crease Test, in which the printed sheet is folded and creased under a known weight, with a solid area fused toner image to the inside of the fold. The sheet is then unfolded and any loose toner is wiped from the resulting image defect. An image analysis system is used to measure the average width of exposed paper along the crease. The crease width (in arbitrary units) for the 25 model papers printed in copier 1 (135 copies per minute) is shown in Figure 2. Each data point is an average of nine measurements (three per sheet along the fuser roll axis and three sheets per model paper). The broken lines in Figure 2 indicate the crease widths for two commercial alkaline copy papers, A and B. with excellent and poor fusing fix respectively, that were printed along with the model papers. It can be seen that the crease widths for most of the experimental papers fall within this range. In all cases, the crease width is largest (i.e. poorest fusing fix) for the papers with ASA internal size. It is apparent from the graph that inorganic filler has no significant effect on fix. In all cases, when starch surface size is added to the base sheet, the crease width increases. When 3% SMA surface additive is added to the sizing, in some cases the crease becomes larger and in other cases smaller. In all cases, however, the addition of SMA reduces the variation in fix among the three types of internal size. Similar trends were noted in the fusing fix from copiers 2 and 3.

Surface Energy

The purpose of this study was to find the properties of paper that affect fusing fix. To that end, RS/1 statistical analysis software was used to search for correlations between fusing fix as measured by crease width, and 47 different physical and compositional measured parameters for each model paper, obtained from the characterization tests listed in Table II. It was found that the only significant correlations were with the dispersive component of the surface energy, and to a lesser degree, the Zisman critical surface tension. This is illustrated in Figure 3 for crease widths of

toner images from copier 1. Similar correlations were obtained for copiers 2 and 3. It is apparent from this figure that there is no intrinsic difference in fusing fix on alkaline as opposed to acid sized papers, since all papers lie on the same line. The ASA sized sheets have the lowest surface energy (and highest crease width) only because ASA was added to the model papers in higher concentration than AKD or Rosin.

CREASE VS. DISPERSIVE SURFACE ENERGY

● - ROSIN
□ - AKD
▲ - ASA

SURFACE ENERGY (dyne/cm)

Figure 3. Correlation of Crease width of toner images from copier 1 with the dispersive component of surface energy for the Model Papers. Data points are distinguished by the internal size of the paper.

The discovery of a correlation between fusing fix and paper surface energy immediately suggests that surface energies are controlling the wetting and spreading of molten toner on the paper substrate. However, the macroscopic area of intimate contact between fused toner and paper fibers does not depend on paper surface energy, but is controlled by the fusing conditions of temperature and pressure, and by toner melt rheology. Within this contact area, however, the surface energies of paper and toner determine the degree of microscopic wetting, the formation of a solid-liquid interface during fusing, and the strength of the intermolecular forces (presumably dispersive)[12] that produce the solid toner–paper bond after fusing. Similar results have been found in the adhesion of extruded polyethylene films to paper[13], where the contact area between film and paper depends on the extrusion conditions, but at constant contact area the peel strength of the bond is controlled by the surface energy of the paper.

One can construct a hypothesis as to how the composition of the paper determines its surface energy, which in turn determines fusing fix. With reference to Figure 4, it is apparent that the addition of 15% inorganic filler (clay or CaCO₃) has no significant effect on surface energy (or fix). The initial variation in surface energy (leftmost data points) is due to the different amounts of internal size material in the three different sheets. When starch surface size is added to the sheets, the surface energy (and the fix) is lowered further; however, in a conventional size press application, the starch does not uniformly cover the sheet surface, so internal size material in the base sheet is still exposed, and

thus there are still variations in surface energy and fix among the three types of paper. When 3% SMA is added to the surface size, however, it acts as a film former and effectively masks the internal size from the molten toner. Therefore, in all cases there is little variation in surface energy (or fix) among the three types of paper. This hypothesis is supported by analysis of surface composition of the model papers by XPS[14,15] Figure 5 shows the percentage of carbon atoms at the surface of each model paper that are in the C I state, i.e. singularly bonded to other carbon atoms or to hydrogen. In pure cellulose or starch, carbon atoms are either singly or doubly bonded to oxygen, so the C 1 state can be associated with synthetic internal size or surface additives such as SMA. The effect can most easily be seen for the unfilled sheets. The sheet composed of pure pulp has the lowest C1 concentration. When internal size is added, the C1 concentration rises in all cases, with the largest increase for ASA. When starch surface size is added, some of the internal size is masked, so the C1 concentration goes down, but there is still variation among the three papers. Finally, when SMA is added, it contributes to the surface hydrocarbons, so the C1 content increases; however, as a film former it masks the internal size molecules from the surface, so the C1 content is the same for all three types of paper.


Figure 4. Dispersive component of surface energy for the Model Papers.

Figure 5. Percentage of carbon atoms on the surface of each Model Paper in the C1 (hydrocarbon) state, as measured by X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy.

Finally, Figure 6 shows the correlation between crease width and surface energy, where the data points are grouped according to surface treatment rather than internal size. Because of its film forming behavior, the papers treated with SMA extend over a much smaller range of surface energy (and crease width) than the other papers. It was also noted that all the SMA data points fell below the linear regression line in Figure 3, and in fact, if the SMA and non-SMA treated papers were separately fitted to straight lines, the SMA treated papers fell along a separate line with the same slope as the regression for the other papers, but offset by a constant amount as shown in Figure 6. Similar fits were found for data from copiers 2 and 3. This means that, as well as masking internal size from the molten toner, the SMA surface additive improves fusing fix via a specific interaction with the toner. The most likely interaction is via chemical compatibility between the Styrene Maleic Anhydride surface additive and the styrene based toner resin.


Figure 6. Correlation of Crease width of toner images from copier 1 with the dispersive component of surface energy for the Model Papers. Data points are distinguished by the surface treatment of the paper.

Conclusions

If the physical properties of a set of papers are held constant, then fusing fix to these papers in a high speed electrophotographic copier is determined entirely by their surface energies. There is no inherent difference in fusing fix on alkaline as opposed to acid sized papers. Early alkaline papers tended to have poor fusing fix because of higher than necessary sizing levels. The addition of inorganic fillers, either clay or $CaCO_3$ at the 15% level has no significant effect on either surface energy or fix. While starch surface sizing of copy papers is required for stiffness and surface strength, it does tend to lower both surface energy and fusing fix; moreover, since a conventional size press applies starch in a discontinuous coating, fusing fix is still affected by the base sheet chemistry. When a surface additive such as Styrene Maleic Anhydride is included in the surface size, it can improve fusing fix in two ways. As a

film former, it masks the internal size from the molten toner, and it also exhibits a specific interaction with the toner polymer, probably due to styrene-styrene chemical compatibility.

Acknowledgments

References

1. C. J. Green, Functional Paper Properties in Xerography, *TAPPI* **64***,* No. 5, 79-81 (1981).
2. L. H. Lee, Thermal Fixing of Xerographic Images, *Adhesion Science and Technology,* L. H. Lee, Ed., Plenum Press, 1975, pp. 831-852.
3. R. B. Prime, Relationships Between Toner Properties, Fuser Parameters and Fixing of Electrophotographic Images, *Photogr. Sci. Eng.* **27***,* 19-25 (1983).
4. Y. Kuo, Roll Fusing of Toner Particles on Rough Papers in the Xerographic Process, *Polymer Engineering and Science* **24***,* 662-672 (1984).
5. J. Borch, Effect of Paper Chemistry in Electrophotography, *ACS Symp. Ser. 200 (Colloids Surfaces Reprographic Technology)*, 1982 pp. 475-491.
6. J. Borch, Sizing Additives Affect Polymer - Paper Adhesion, *TAPPI* **65***,* No. 2, 72-73 (1982).
7. J. Borch and R. G. Svendson, Paper Material Considerations for System Printers, *IBM J. Res. Develop.* **28***,* 285-291 (1984).
8. J. Borch, Effect of Paper Chemistry on Adhesion of Thermoplastic Polymers to Paper, *Surface and Colloid Science in Computer Technology,* K. L. Mittal, Ed., Plenum Press, 1987, pp. 399-407.
9. J. Borch, Thermodynamics of Polymer—Paper Adhesion: A Review, *J. Adhesion Sci. Technol.* **5***,* 523-541 (1991).
10. H. W. Fox and W. A Zisman, *J. Colloid Sci.* **7***,* 109 (1952).
11. J. W. Swanson and J. J. Becher, The Adhesion of Polyethylene on Paper, *TAPPI* **49***,* No. 5, 198-202 (1966).
12. D. K. Owens and R. C. Wendt, Estimation of Surface Free Energy of Polymers, *J. Appl. Polymer Sci.* **13***,* 1741 - 1747 (1969) .
13. G. Gervason, J. Ducom, and H. Cheradame, Relationship Between Surface Energy and Adhesion Strength in Polyethylene - Paper Composites, *British Polymer Journal* **21***,* 53-59 (1989).
14. M. M. Farrow, A. G. Miller, and A. M. Walsh, Surface Chemistry of Business Papers: Electron Spectroscopy for Chemical Analysis Studies, *ACS Symp. Ser. 200 (Colloids Surfaces Reprographic Technol.),* 1982, pp. 455-474.
15. N. Gurnagul, M. D. Ouchi, N. Dunlop-Jones, D. G. Sparkes, and J. T. Wearing, Factors Affecting the Coefficient of Friction of Paper, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.* **46***,* 805-814 (1992).